

1 TRB paper Number 18-05624 presented at TRB 97<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting and accepted for publication

2  
3 **DEVELOPMENT OF LIVE LOAD MODEL FOR STRENGTH II LIMIT STATE IN**  
4 **AASHTO LRFD DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS**

5  
6 **Peng Lou, Ph.D., Research Associate**

7 RIME Office Tel: 848-445-5858; Email: [penglou@rutgers.edu](mailto:penglou@rutgers.edu)

8 **Hani Nassif, P.E., Ph.D., Professor and Director**

9 Office Tel: 848-445-4414; Fax: 732:445-8268; Email: [nassif@soe.rutgers.edu](mailto:nassif@soe.rutgers.edu)

10 Rutgers Infrastructure Monitoring and Evaluation (RIME) Group, Department of Civil and Environmental  
11 Engineering, Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey  
12 96 Frelinghuysen Rd, Piscataway, NJ 08854

13  
14 **Paul Truban, PE, PTOE, AICP, Manager**

15 Bureau of Freight Planning and Services, New Jersey Department of Transportation

16 P.O. Box 600, 1035 Parkway Avenue, Trenton, NJ 08625

17 Office Tel: 609-530-3521; Email: [Paul.Truban@dot.nj.gov](mailto:Paul.Truban@dot.nj.gov)

18 **ABSTRACT**

19 The AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications defines Strength II limit state for agencies to consider the load  
20 combination by owner-specified special design vehicles, evaluation permit vehicles, or both. The configuration and  
21 characteristics of permit vehicles vary from state to state. Additionally, the code calibration process performed in 1994  
22 for the development of the live load factors, was applied only to the Strength I limit state. In New Jersey, the design  
23 permit vehicle was not developed based on actual permit records or weigh-in-motion (WIM) data. Recently, with the  
24 development of permit issuing management and WIM technology, there is a need to evaluate the effectiveness of  
25 design permit vehicles.

26 This study aims to develop a live load model for the assessment of Strength II limit state for New Jersey  
27 Department of Transportation (NJDOT). Five years of permit vehicle records are provided by NJDOT for the  
28 development of the live load model. The distribution of Gross Vehicle Weight (GVW) is best described as the  
29 Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) distribution. Load effects are simulated for different span lengths. The mean and  
30 standard deviation (STD) of the 75-year maximum loads are predicted using different extrapolation approaches. The  
31 results show that NJDOT Design Permit Vehicle provides stable mean and STD of bias ratio at 75-year level. In  
32 comparison with the current AASHTO live load factor of 1.35, the averages of the bias ratios at the 75-year level are  
33 found to be 1.31, 1.23, and 1.16 for the positive moment, shear, and negative moment, respectively.

34  
35 *Key Words:* Bridge Design, Strength II Limit State, Permit Vehicle Data, Live Load Prediction

36  
37 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

38 This study is sponsored by NJDOT. The help and support from Andrew Ludasi in Bureau of Freight Planning and  
39 Services, Xiaohua “Hannah” Cheng, Ph.D., P.E and Eddy Germain in Bureau of Structural Design and Evaluation  
40 are greatly appreciated. The help and support from graduate students He Zhang and Dongjian Gao are also  
41 appreciated.